

1 Convergence

$A_N \rightarrow L$ or $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A_N = L$ both mean:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M, s.t. |A_N - L| < \epsilon, \forall N > M \quad (1)$$

This is read: "for all epsilon greater than zero, there exists an M such that the distance between the N th term and L is less than epsilon for all N greater than M "

or

"no matter how close you get to L ($\forall \epsilon > 0$), eventually ($\exists M$) all the remaining terms ($\forall N > M$) will be closer ($|A_N - L| < \epsilon$)."

In general when writing a proof about convergence, you'll start with " $|A_N - L| < \epsilon$ ", isolate N , and end up with a " $\forall N > M$ " statement.

1.1 Examples

Q: Show that $\frac{2N}{N+3} \rightarrow 2$.

A: This is a statement about convergence, so immediately use the definition, where $A_N = \frac{2N}{N+3}$ and $L = 2$. You want to show:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M, s.t. \left| \frac{2N}{N+3} - 2 \right| < \epsilon, \forall N > M$$

Starting with " $\left| \frac{2N}{N+3} - 2 \right| < \epsilon$ " you'll want to create a " $N > stuff$ " statement. This " $stuff$ " will be the M .

$$\left| \frac{2N}{N+3} - 2 \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\left| \frac{2N}{N+3} - \frac{2(N+3)}{N+3} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\left| \frac{2N - (2N+6)}{N+3} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\left| \frac{-6}{N+3} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\frac{6}{N+3} < \epsilon$$

$$6 < N\epsilon + 3\epsilon$$

$$6 - 3\epsilon < N\epsilon$$

$$\frac{6-3\epsilon}{\epsilon} < N$$

$$M = \frac{6-3\epsilon}{\epsilon}$$

Theres your proof. Obviously $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M$. You know because any $\epsilon > 0$ that you pick immediately gives you an M , so it must exist.

Q: Show that $\frac{1}{N} \rightarrow 3$.

A: This is a statement about convergence, so immediately use the definition, where $A_N = \frac{1}{N}$ and $L = 2$.

$\frac{1}{N}$ does not actually converge to 3, so this will be a disproof. Ill show that $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there does not exist M .

Once again start with $|A_N - L| < \epsilon$ and turn it into $N > M$.

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} - 3 \right| < \epsilon$$

$$3 - \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$$

$$3 - \epsilon < \frac{1}{N}$$

$$N < \frac{1}{3-\epsilon}$$

This is not a " $N > M$ " statement. Therefore, it is not true that the sequence converges to 3. If you repeat this same example line for line using $L = 0$ you'll get:

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$$

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} < N$$

This is a " $N > M$ " statement (with $M = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$), so in fact $\frac{1}{N} \rightarrow 0$.