

# A FIRST ORDER LANGUAGE FOR ORDERED RINGS

KENNETH R. DRIESSEL

I mainly follow Lyndon(1966) . Here is another reference for this material: Schoenfeld(1967) .

---

*Date:* October 8, 2006.

We consider mathematical structures of the following type:

$$\mathcal{A} := (A, +, 0, \cdot, 1, -1, \leq)$$

where  $A$  is a set,  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are binary operations on  $A$ ,  $0, 1$  and  $-1$  are distinguished elements of  $A$ , and  $\leq$  is a binary relation on  $A$ . (Note, for example, that rings and fields are structures of this type.) With such a structure we shall associate a first order language.

We shall discuss both the syntax and semantics of this language. We shall begin with syntax. In particular, we shall discuss the grammar of the language – that is, the rules used to determine the terms and (well-formed) formulas of the language. Then we shall discuss the semantics of the language – that is, the meaning of the terms and formulas. Our discussion will take place in an informal metalanguage; we use the usual language of mathematics, namely, informal set theory.

With a structure of the given type, we associate a **first-order language**  $L$  defined as follows: The **symbols** of the language  $L$  consist of mathematical symbols and logical symbols. The **mathematical symbols** are the **addition symbol**  $+$ , the **multiplication symbol**  $\cdot$ , the **constant symbols**  $0, 1, -1$ , and the **comparison symbol**  $\leq$ . The **logical symbols** are the **the grouping symbols**  $($  and  $)$ , the **disjunction symbol**  $\vee$ , the **conjunction symbol**  $\wedge$ , the **negation symbol**  $\neg$ , the **variables**  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$ , the **existential quantifier**  $\exists$ , the **universal quantifier**  $\forall$ , and the **equality symbol**  $=$ . Finite strings of symbols are **expressions** of  $L$ .

The set  $\text{Term}$  of **terms** of  $L$  is the smallest set of expressions satisfying the conditions:

- The constant symbols are terms.
- The variables are terms.
- If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are terms then so are the **sum**  $(\alpha + \beta)$  and the **product**  $(\alpha \cdot \beta)$ .

The set  $\text{Form}$  of **formulas** of  $L$  is the smallest set of expressions satisfying the conditions:

- If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are terms then the **comparison**  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and the **equation**  $\alpha = \beta$  are **atomic** formulas.
- If  $p$  and  $q$  are formulas then the **disjunction**  $(p \vee q)$  and the **conjunction**  $(p \wedge q)$  are formulas.
- If  $p$  is a formula then the **negation**  $(\neg p)$  is a formula.
- If  $p$  is a formula and  $x$  is a variable then the expression  $(\exists x)p$  is an **existentially quantified** formula and the expression  $(\forall x)p$  is a **universally quantified** formula.

**Proposition 1. Unique readability for terms.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a term. Then exactly one of the following conditions holds:*

- *There is a unique constant such that  $\gamma$  is this constant.*
- *There is a unique variable such that  $\gamma$  is this variable.*
- *There are unique terms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $\gamma$  is the sum expression  $(\alpha + \beta)$ .*
- *There are unique terms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $\gamma$  is the product expression  $(\alpha \cdot \beta)$ .*

**Proposition 2. Unique readability for formulas.** *Let  $p$  be a formula. Then exactly one of the following conditions holds:*

- *The formula  $p$  is a comparison and there are unique terms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $p$  is the expression  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .*
- *The formula  $p$  is a conjunction and there are unique formulas  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  such that  $p$  is the expression  $(p_1 \vee p_2)$ .*
- *The formula  $p$  is a disjunction and there are unique formulas  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  such that  $p$  is the expression  $(p_1 \wedge p_2)$ .*
- *The formula  $p$  is a negation and there exists a unique formula  $q$  such that  $p$  is the expression  $(\neg q)$ .*
- *The formula  $p$  is an existentially quantified formula and there exists a unique formula  $q$  and a unique variable  $x$  such that  $p$  is the expression  $(\exists x)q$ .*
- *The formula  $p$  is a universally quantified formula and there exists a unique formula  $q$  and a unique variable  $x$  such that  $p$  is the expression  $(\forall x)q$ .*

With every term and every formula we associate a set of **free variables**. In particular, we define the set  $\text{Free}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a term as follows:

- If  $\alpha$  is a constant then  $\text{Free}(\alpha)$  is the empty set.
- If  $\alpha$  is the variable  $x$  then  $\text{Free}(\alpha) := \{x\}$ .
- If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are terms then  $\text{Free}(\alpha + \beta) := \text{Free}(\alpha \cdot \beta) := \text{Free}(\alpha) \cup \text{Free}(\beta)$ .

We define the set  $\text{Free}(p)$  where  $p$  is a formula as follows:

- $\text{Free}(\alpha \leq \beta) := \text{Free}(\alpha = \beta) := \text{Free}(\alpha) \cup \text{Free}(\beta)$ .
- $\text{Free}(p \vee q) := \text{Free}(p \wedge q) := \text{Free}(p) \cup \text{Free}(q)$ .
- $\text{Free}(\neg p) := \text{Free}(p)$ .
- $\text{Free}((\exists x)p) := \text{Free}((\forall x)p) := \{y \in \text{Free}(p) : y \neq x\}$ .

A term with no free variables is a **variable-free term**. For example, the expression  $((1+1)+1)+1$  is a variable-free term. (The expression 4 is a common abbreviation for this term.) Formulas with no free variable are called **sentences** or **closed formulas**.

We now turn to a discussion of semantics. Let  $L$  denote the language that we just defined. We define an **interpretation** of  $L$  in a structure

$$\mathcal{A} := (A, +, 0, \cdot, 1, -1, \leq)$$

as follows: Let  $\phi : \text{Var} \rightarrow A$  be a function where  $\text{Var} := \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  is the set of variables of  $L$ . We extend  $\phi$  to the set Term of terms by induction:  $\phi(0) := 0$ ,  $\phi(1) := 1$ ,  $\phi(-1) := -1$ ; if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are terms then  $\phi(\alpha + \beta) := \phi(\alpha) + \phi(\beta)$  and  $\phi(\alpha \cdot \beta) := \phi(\alpha) \cdot \phi(\beta)$ . Note that terms provide names of elements of  $A$  via the interpretation map  $\phi$ . We also extend  $\phi$  to the set Form of formulas by induction: If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are terms then  $\phi(\alpha = \beta) := T$  if  $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(\beta)$  and  $:= F$  otherwise and  $\phi(\alpha \leq \beta) := T$  if  $\phi(\alpha) \leq \phi(\beta)$  and  $:= F$  otherwise; if  $p$  and  $q$  are formulas then  $\phi(p \vee q) := \phi(p) \vee \phi(q)$ ,  $\phi(p \wedge q) := \phi(p) \wedge \phi(q)$ , and  $\phi(\neg p) := \neg\phi(p)$ . In other words,  $\phi(p \vee q) := T$  iff  $\phi(p) = T$  or  $\phi(q) = T$ , etc. Here we use “ $T$ ” and “ $F$ ” as abbreviations of “true” and “false” respectively. Note that we allow a symbol to have different meanings depending on context. For example, the first  $\vee$  in  $\phi(p \vee q) := \phi(p) \vee \phi(q)$  is a formal symbol and the second one represents an operation on truth values. We still need to consider quantified formulas. For a variable  $x$  let  $I(\phi, x)$  be the set of mappings  $\psi : \text{Var} \rightarrow A$  which agree with  $\phi$  except possibly at  $x$ . Then we define the extension of  $\phi$  to quantified formulas as follows:  $\phi((\forall x)p) := T$  if for all  $\psi \in I(\phi, x)$ ,  $\psi(p) = T$  and  $:= F$  otherwise;  $\phi((\exists x)p) := T$  if for some  $\psi \in I(\phi, x)$ ,  $\psi(p) = T$  and  $:= F$  otherwise.